

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,391	08/02/2001	Robert F. Mori	06145/017001 (P6155)	5982
22511 75	90 02/14/2005	EXAMINER		INER
OSHA & MAY L.L.P. 1221 MCKINNEY STREET			GELIN, JEAN ALLAND	
SUITE 2800	LI SIRLLI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON, TX 77010			2681	

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/921,391	MORI, ROBERT F.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jean A Gelin	2681			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the communication apport.	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	<u>ugust 2001</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2001</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen						
1) X Notic 2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔯 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 8/2/01.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/921,391

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims [c1], [c2], ... [c22] should be renumbered --1, 2, ... 21, 22, respectively--. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 8-16, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolff (US 5,946,050).

Regarding claims 1, 12, and 13, Wolff teaches a method for recording an audio broadcast by user preference (col. 2, lines 32-44), comprising: recording an audio broadcast (col. 1, lines 65-67); converting the audio broadcast to a text media (i.e., voice recognition driver to convert speech to text, and keywords are phonically stored, col. 3, line 65 to col. 4, line 16); searching the text media for a designated user preference (search for keywords, col. 4, lines 25-36, 47-54); and saving the audio

broadcast when the designated user preference is found in the text media (col. 5, lines 4-17).

Regarding claims 2, 14, Wolff teaches wherein the audio broadcast is recorded digitally (i.e., personal computer stores incoming audio signal in RAM, col. 4, lines 25-36 corresponding to digitally recorded).

Regarding claims 3, 15, Wolff teaches wherein the audio broadcast is a radio broadcast (col. 3, 1-8).

Regarding claims 4, 16, Wolff teaches wherein the audio broadcast is an internet broadcast (col. 2, lines 20-28).

Regarding claims 8, 20, Wolff teaches wherein the audio broadcast is converted to text media by voice recognition techniques (col. 3, line 60 to col. 4, lines 17).

Regarding claim 9, Wolff teaches wherein the text media is searched for the designated user preference with a search agent (col. 4, lines 37-65).

Regarding claims 10, 21, Wolff teaches wherein the designated user preference is a key word (col. 4, lines 30-46).

Regarding claims 11, 22, Wolff teaches wherein the audio broadcast is saved as part of a program, the program comprising at least one additional saved audio broadcast (col. 5, lines 4-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/921,391

Art Unit: 2681

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 5-7 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolff in view of Wheeler (US 6,490,686).

Regarding claims 5-7 and 17-19, Wolff teaches wherein the audio broadcast is a memory storage media broadcast, wherein the memory storage media is a compact disc, and wherein the memory storage media is a digital versatile disc.

However, the preceding limitations are known in the art of communications. Wheeler teaches a type of devices in which the audio information is broadcasted or recorded such as DVD and CD (col. 3, lines 18-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to implement the technique of Wheeler within the system of Wolff in order to provide an efficient and inexpensive method and apparatus to protect the contents of DVD and CD.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Matsumoto	US 5,862,104	01/19/1999
Saindon et al.	US 2002/0161578	10/31/2002
Menard et al.	US 6,810,526	10/26/2004
Nabha et al.	US 2002/0044633	04/18/2002
Andrews	US 2002/0067805	06/06/2002
Kahn	US 2002/0143531	10/03/2002

Art Unit: 2681

Stepp et al. US 6,363,440 03/26/2002

Coden et al. US 6,816,858 11/09/2004

Covel et al. US 6,782,186 08/24/2004

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean A Gelin whose telephone number is (703) 305-4847. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (703) 306-0003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JGelin February 9, 2005 primary examiner au Alland Geli